Identifying Patterns of Associated-Conditions through Topic Models of Electronic Medical Records

Moumita Bhattacharya¹, Claudine Jurkovitz, MD, MPH² and Hagit Shatkay, PhD^{1,3,4} ¹Computational Biomedicine Lab, Computer and Information Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA ²Value Institute, Christiana Care Health System, Newark, DE, USA

³Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Delaware Biotechnology Inst, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

⁴School of Computing, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, K7L 3N6, Canada

{moumitab, shatkay}@udel.edu

Abstract— Multiple adverse health conditions co-occurring in a patient are typically associated with poor prognosis and increased office or hospital visits. Developing methods to identify patterns of co-occurring conditions can assist in diagnosis. Thus, identifying patterns of association among co-occurring conditions is of growing interest. In this paper, we report preliminary results from a data-driven study, in which we apply a machine learning method, namely, topic modeling, to Electronic Medical Records (EMRs), aiming to identify patterns of associated conditions. Specifically, we use the well-established Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA), a method based on the idea that documents can be modeled as a mixture of latent topics, where each topic is a distribution over words. In our study, we adapt the LDA model to identify latent topics in patients' EMRs. We evaluate the performance of our method both qualitatively and quantitatively, and show that the obtained topics indeed align well with distinct medical phenomena characterized by co-occurring conditions.

Keywords—Electronic Medical Records; Electronic Health Records; Topic Models; Latent Dirichlet Allocation; Jensen-Shannon Divergence; Co-occuring Conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, one in four individuals in the United States suffers multiple health conditions, while the rate is even higher (three in four), among individuals who are 65 or older. Per capita healthcare expenditure increases sharply as the number of conditions increases [1]. Patients suffering from multiple conditions pose a challenge to healthcare service providers as their prognosis is often poor and their visits frequency to primary care providers and hospitals is increased. Thus, identifying co-occurrence patterns of medical conditions is of growing interest, as it can help build accurate prediction models for hospitalization, progression of disease, or death. We report here preliminary results from a data-driven study in which we apply topic modeling to *Electronic Medical Records (EMRs)*, aiming to identify patterns of associated conditions.

We conduct our analysis on a dataset comprising EMRs of patients obtained from multiple primary care practices in the State of Delaware. A total of 13,111 patient records were included in this study. They represent patients whose kidney function is decreased, as indicated by lower than normal (below 60 mL/min/m2) estimated *Glomerular filtration rate (GFR)*, which is a common marker of kidney function. Each record includes attributes such as *age, gender, lab test results*

and *diagnosed conditions*, recorded during multiple visits over a period of eight years.

We focus our analysis only on the *diagnosed conditions* attribute in the EMR dataset, represented through the healthcare terminology of *SNOMED-CT codes* [2], a common standardized language to record diagnosed conditions in EMRs, across different healthcare providers. SNOMED-CT is specifically designed to capture detailed information during clinical care by enabling clinicians to choose appropriate conditions from a predefined fine-grained list. The large number of patients, the wide timespan in our EMRs and the use of SNOMED codes to represent diagnosed conditions give rise to a large-scale dataset suitable for identifying patterns of co-occurring conditions.

Topic modeling is primarily used for identifying latent topics in a set of documents, based on the idea that documents can be modeled as a mixture of latent topics, where each topic is a distribution over words. In our study, a patient file, comprising all coded conditions with which the patient has been diagnosed, is viewed as a document, and each code is treated as a word. We use a well-established topic modeling technique, *Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA)* [3] to model patient files as though they were generated as a mixture of K underlying topics, where a topic is a probability distribution over SNOMED codes; each code is assigned a probability to be associated with each topic. We hypothesize that the coded conditions that show a high probability to be associated with a specific topic, indeed tend to co-occur in patients.

Previous studies in other domains have employed topic models for a variety of natural language processing and image processing applications [3, 4]. Recently, topic models have also been applied in the biomedical domain for case-based retrieval [5], characterization of clinical concepts over time [6], and prediction of patient satisfaction and mortality [7, 8], among others. Topic models have also been employed to analyze differences in language use between depressed and nondepressed individuals [9], as well as to rank gene-drug relationships in the biomedical literature [10]. The majority of previous applications have centered around text data. To our knowledge, only a handful of studies have applied topic models to non-text data [11, 12]. However, compared to these studies, we analyze a much larger dataset and take a more rigorous approach to assess the clinical relevance of our results and to quantitatively evaluate the performance of our method.

We evaluate the performance of our method using two approaches. First, we assess the medical validity of our results by examining whether the conditions that show a high probability to be associated with a topic are known to co-occur according to the medical literature. Second, we quantitatively assess the topics obtained from our model by measuring how distinct they are from one another (*distinctiveness*) and whether a topic can be specified by a small number of conditions (tightness). We measure distinctiveness by calculating intertopic distance using Jensen-Shannon divergence [13] - a symmetric measure of similarity between two probability distributions. Tightness is measured by inspecting, for each topic, the number of associated codes whose probability is greater than a threshold value; a low number of associated codes indicates that a topic can be characterized by a handful of codes, and is thus tight. Our results show that the topics are indeed distinct and tight, while aligning well with sets of conditions that are known to co-occur according to the medical literature.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II describes the experimental setting, including the dataset used, the data preprocessing steps, the LDA algorithm and the Jensen-Shannon divergence measure; Section III presents and discusses the results; Section IV summarizes our findings and proposes directions for future work.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETTING

Our dataset consists of information gathered from EMRs of patients in the state of Delaware, showing evidence of decrease in kidney function, recorded during office visits to physicians. Specifically, patients were included in the dataset if at least one estimated GFR value in their records was below 60 mL/min/m2. The EMRs contain several attributes such as *age*, *ethnicity*, gender, lab test results and diagnosed conditions collected between August 2007 and July 2015 for 13,111 patients. The average number of visits per patient, over the 8-year period, is 6. The age range of patients in our dataset is 18-107, where 70% of the patients are 58-82 and the mean age is 70 ($\sigma = 12.4$). Our dataset consists of 60% female and 40% male patients. In this study, we focus solely on the diagnosed conditions attribute, represented via SNOMED codes. Table I lists the ten most frequent SNOMED codes in our dataset, along with their description and occurrence frequency.

We preprocess and organize the data to form records that can be used to fit a topic model. Typically in topic modeling, a word is the basic unit of discrete data while the set of unique words is referred to as the *vocabulary*. In contrast, in our study, we use *diagnosed conditions*, represented as SNOMED codes, rather than words, such that the set of unique codes forms our vocabulary. To determine the set of codes included, we create a list in which each code is associated with the number of times it occurs within the dataset, and note that 180 of the 5,000 codes present in the dataset account for 80% of the cumulative frequency. To avoid sparsity in the dataset, we limit our vocabulary to these 180 most frequent SNOMED codes.

Based on this vocabulary, we create a data matrix where rows correspond to patient-IDs and columns correspond to SNOMED codes, such that each cell $\langle p, c \rangle$ in the matrix contains the number of times a patient p was diagnosed with condition c.

Thus, each patient is associated with a 180-dimensional vector, in which each entry represents the occurrence frequency of a diagnosed condition within the patient's record. We refer to each such vector as a *patient-conditions record* and to the collection of all such vectors as the *patient-conditions corpus*, represented by a matrix of dimension 13,111 by 180.

SNOMED Code	SNOMED Description	Number of Occurrences			
1201005	Benign Essential Hypertension	148,424			
55822004	Hyperlipidemia	82,890			
44054006	Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus	59,156			
235595009	Gastroesophageal Reflux	48,731			
267432004	Pure Hypercholesterolemia	47,022			
414916001	Obesity	40,499			
61582004	Allergic Rhinitis	40,066			
40930008	Hypothyroidism	39,534			
53741008	Coronary Arteriosclerosis	36,795			
271795006	Malaise and Fatigue	27,581			

TABLE I. THE TEN MOST FREQUENT SNOMED CODES IN OUR EMR DATASET

Latent Dirichlet Allocation: LDA is a generative probabilistic model based on the idea that documents can be modeled as a mixture over latent topics, where each topic is a distribution over words [3]. We employ LDA to model patient records as though they were generated by sampling from a mixture of K underlying topics, where a topic is a multinomial distribution over all SNOMED codes in our vocabulary. Each code is thus viewed as a sample from a multinomial distribution over K topics. By inferring the probability distributions associated with the K topics, we can characterize patient records as multinomial distributions over codes.

The number of patients in our corpus is 13,111. The number of unique codes that form our vocabulary is denoted by V; in the experiments reported here V=180. We represent a patient's record as a V-dimensional vector of SNOMED codes, referred to as a patient-conditions record. The patient-conditions records are obtained by preprocessing the original patient file in the EMR; a patient file comprises all coded conditions with which the patient had been diagnosed during the 8-years period reflected in our dataset.

We represent each patient file, F_i ($1 \le i \le 13, 111$), as a vector of codes $F_i = (c_1^i, ..., c_{N_i}^i)$, where N_i is the total number of code occurrences in the i^{th} patient file. Each code, c_j^i , in the vector is one of the V SNOMED codes in our vocabulary, viewed as a value taken by a respective random variable, C_j ($1 \le j \le N_i$), denoting the code value occurring in the j^{th} position of the i^{th} patient file. We note that any of the V codes in our vocabulary can appear at any position in a patient file. The generative process for each patient file consists of the following steps: First, a multinomial distribution over V codes for the t^{th} topic, denoted Φ_i ($l \le t \le K$), is obtained by sampling from a Dirichlet distribution with parameter α ; Φ_i represents the conditional probability of a code to occur in the t^{th} topic. Next, for each patient file, F_i , a multinomial distribution over K topics, denoted θ_i , is sampled from a Dirichlet distribution with parameter β ; θ_i represents the conditional probability of the file to be associated with each of the K topics. Subsequently, for each code-position, j, in the file, $F_i : (1)$ A topic is drawn by sampling from θ_i ; the selected topic at position j in the file F_i is denoted $z_j^i \in \{1, ..., K\}$; (2) Given the topic z_j^i a code, c_j^i , is drawn by sampling from the topic-code distribution, $\Phi_{z_i^i}$.

To learn the model parameters based on our data, we use the R *topicmodels* library [14].

Jensen-Shannon Divergence: The Jensen-Shannon divergence (JSD) [13] is a symmetric measure of similarity between two probability distributions. Let $\vec{X} = \langle x_1, ..., x_N \rangle$ and $\vec{Y} = \langle y_1, ..., y_N \rangle$ be two N-dimensional vectors that represent two discrete probability distributions. The Jensen-Shannon divergence between \vec{X} and \vec{Y} is defined as: $JSD(\vec{X}||\vec{Y}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \log\left(\frac{x_i}{m_i}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i \log\left(\frac{y_i}{m_i}\right)$, where the vector $\vec{m} = \langle m_1, ..., m_N \rangle$ is a N-dimensional vector representing the mean distribution of \vec{X} and \vec{Y} , calculated as: $m_i = \frac{1}{2}(x_i + y_i)$.

The JSD values range between 0 and ln(2) (~0.693), where 0 indicates identical distributions, and ln(2) indicates non-overlapping distributions. We use the JSD to calculate the inter-

topic distance between each pair of topics, where the distribution dimension N is 180 (the number of codes in our vocabulary).

III. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

Experiments: We applied LDA to the patient-conditions corpus to obtain topics, where each topic is a distribution over SNOMED codes. We ran multiple experiments varying the number of topics, and focus here on results obtained when using 20 topics. To ensure an appropriate *burn-in period*, which is the initial stage of the sampling process when the Gibbs samples are poor estimates of the posterior, we discarded the first 4,000 samples, after which we saved 4,000 Gibbs samples at regular intervals of 100 [15]. We used the default values, set in the *topicmodels* library, for the parameter β (0.1) and for the initial value of the parameter α (50/M) [14].

Table II shows examples of six characteristic topics from the 20 identified by our model. For each of the six topics, we list the ten diagnosed conditions that have the highest probability to occur in the topic, along with their respective probabilities. We display only ten diagnosed conditions, since for most topics, the cummulative probability mass associated with these conditions accounts for over 0.9 of the total probability mass, as shown at the bottom row of the table. Moreover, the remaining diagnosed conditions have probability lower than 0.01. Similar results were obtained for the other 14 topics.

<u>Medical Relevance</u>: To evaluate whether topic modeling indeed identifies patterns of association among patients' conditions, we verify that the most probable conditions within each topic are indeed known to co-occur according to the medical literature.

Topic A	Prob	Topic B	Prob	Topic C	Prob	Topic D	Prob	Topic E	Prob	Topic F	Prob
Type 2 diabetes mellitus	.369	Pain in limb	.195	Asthma	.200	Allergic rhinitis	.361	Hypothyroidism	.400	Obesity	.383
Type II diabetes mellitus uncontrolled	.132	Arthralgia of the lower leg	.166	Cough	.164	Osteoporosis	.186	Disorder of bone and articular cartilage	.229	Depressive disorder	.250
Mixed hyperlipidemia	.099	Low back pain	.144	Benign neoplasm of colon	.145	Acute sinusitis	.111	Vitamin D deficiency	.161	Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome	.119
Disorder associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus	.088	Shoulder joint pain	.128	Acute bronchitis	.117	Benign essential hypertension	.110	Anemia	.078	Benign essential hypertension	.092
Neurologic disorder associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus	.074	Chronic renal failure	.107	Disorder of lung	.091	Female sexual arousal disorder	.076	Diaphragmatic hernia	.044	Osteoarthrosis involving multiple sites	.047
Proteinuria	.064	Arthralgia of the pelvic region and thigh	.092	Impotence of organic origin	.086	Chronic sinusitis	.061	Degenerative joint disease of pelvis	.036	Elevated levels of transaminase lactic acid dehydrogenase	.043
Morbid obesity	.063	Thoracic radiculitis	.071	Pneumonia	.076	Acute upper respiratory infection	.046	Abnormal findings on diagnostic imaging of breast	.034	Pure hyperglyceridemia	.034
Benign essential hypertension	.038	Joint pain	.036	Overweight	.044	Disease of liver	.043	Goiter	.014	Acrodermatitis continua	.021
Vitamin D deficiency	.032	Acute upper respiratory infection	.030	Cholelithiasis without obstruction	.040	Acute bronchitis	.004	Benign essential hypertension	.002	Diarrhea	.002
Diabetic oculopathy associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus	.029	Chronic rhinitis	.029	Acute upper respiratory infection	.034	Impacted cerumen	.002	Conduction disorder of the heart	.001	Peripheral venous insufficiency	.001
CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY	.989		.998		.997		1.000		1.000		.992

TABLE II. EXAMPLES OF SIX CHARACTERISTIC TOPICS FROM THE TWENTY IDENTIFIED BY OUR MODEL; EACH COLUMN LISTS TEN DIAGNOSED CONDITIONS THAT HAVE THE HIGHEST PROBABILITIES TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH THE RESPECTIVE TOPIC, ALONG WITH THEIR PROBABILITIES

As seen from the leftmost column in Table II, many of the diagnosed conditions grouped together in Topic A are clearly related to Diabetes, which is one of the most frequent causes of decrease in kidney function in the US [16]. It is well established medically that Type 2 Diabetes and Hyperlipidemia are closely associated conditions [17]. Similarly, Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Benign Essential Hypertension and Morbid Obesity are known to co-occur [18]. Moreover, Vitamin D deficiency is a common phenomenon in Chronic Kidney disease and hence frequently co-occurs with Diabetes. Likewise, most of the conditions grouped together in Topic B are related to Limb or Joint pain, conditions frequently occuring in patients suffering from advanced kidney disease, which explains the high probability of Chronic Renal failure, Limb- and Joint-pain to all be associated with the same topic [19]. We similarly assess the medical validity of each of the other topics identified by our model [16-19].

<u>*Quantitative Evaluation:*</u> We next measure the quality of the resulting topics in terms of *tightness* and *distinctiveness*.

We assess the *tightness* of the topics by examining whether each can be specified by a small number of coded conditions. Thus for each topic we inspect the number of codes assigned a probability greater than a threshold value, set to 0.01. The observation that for each topic, only 10 or fewer of the 180 codes have a probability above 0.01, and that the cumulative probability of these 10 codes adds up to more than 0.9, indicates that the 10 conditions are sufficient for characterizing a topic, illustrating the tightness of the topics.

We assess the *distinctiveness* of the topics by calculating the inter-topic distance between all distinct pairs of 20 topics using the JSD [13] to measure how well-separated each topic is from another. The mean, median, and minimum values of the inter-topic distances obtained are 0.666, 0.692 and 0.483 respectively. As mentioned earlier, JSD values range between 0 and ln(2) (~0.693), where 0 indicates identical distributions, and ln(2) indicates non-overlapping distributions. The higher the JSD value between two topics, the more distinct they are from one another. The high mean and median values (close to the upper bound of ln(2)) of the inter-topic distances indicate that the majority of topic pairs obtained by our model are *distinct*.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We reported preliminary results obtained from our datadriven approach, using LDA to identify patterns of co-occurring medical conditions within an EMR dataset. Our results indicate that most of the coded conditions grouped together as topics are indeed known to co-occur according to the medical literature. We also quantitatively evaluate the performance of our method and demonstrate that the topics identified by our method are tight and distinct. Tightness is established by showing that each topic can be defined by ten or fewer conditions. Distinctiveness is established by illustrating that the large majority of the topic pairs are separated by a high Jensen-Shannon divergence.

We believe that our approach can be used to support clinical decision making. The data driven approach for identifying associated conditions can be used as a basis for a system that facilitates diagnosis and data entry in clinical settings by suggesting conditions that may co-occur with the patient's current diagnosed conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, NIGMS IDeA grants U54-GM104941 and P20 GM103446. We thank James T. Laughery and Sarahfaye Heckler for their major role in building the dataset.

REFERENCES

[1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2014). *Multiple Chronic Conditions*. <u>http://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/about/multiple-chronic.htm</u>, last accessed 06/01/16.

[2] NIH U.S. National Library of Medicine (2016). SNOMED CT. https://www.nlm.nih.gov/healthit/snomedct/, last accessed 06/01/16.

[3] Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). *Latent Dirichlet allocation*. Journal of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 3, pp. 993-1022.

[4] Feng, Y., & Lapata, M. (2010). *Topic models for image annotation and text illustration*. In Human Language Technologies: Proc. of the Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL'10), pp. 831-839.

[5] Arnold, C. W., El-Saden, S. M., Bui, A. A. & Taira, R. (2010). *Clinical case-based retrieval using latent topic analysis*. In Proc. of the AMIA Annual Symposium, pp. 26 -30.

[6] Howes, C., Purver, M., & McCabe, R. (2013). *Investigating topic modelling for therapy dialogue analysis*. In Proc. of the IWCS Workshop on Computational Semantics in Clinical Text (CSCT), pp. 7-16.

[7] Lehman, L. W., Saeed, M., Long, W., Lee, J., & Mark, R. (2012). *Risk stratification of ICU patients using topic models inferred from unstructured progress notes.* In Proc. of the AMIA Annual Symposium, pp. 505-511.

[8] Ghassemi, M., Naumann, T., Doshi-Velez, F., Brimmer, N., Joshi, R., Rumshisky, A., & Szolovits, P. (2014). Unfolding physiological state: mortality modelling in intensive care units. In Proc. of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pp. 75-84.
[9] Resnik, P., Armstrong, W., Claudino, L., Nguyen, T., Nguyen, V. A., & Boyd-Graber, J. (2015). Beyond LDA: exploring supervised topic modeling for depression-related language in Twitter. In Proc. of the 2nd Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pp. 99-107.

[10] Wu, Y., Liu, M., Zheng, W. J., Zhao, Z., & Xu, H. (2012). *Ranking genedrug relationships in biomedical literature using latent Dirichlet allocation*. In Proc. of the Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing, pp. 422-433.

[11] Wang, J., Liu, P., She, M. F., Nahavandi, S., & Kouzani, A. (2013). *Biomedical time series clustering based on non-negative sparse coding and probabilistic topic model.* Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, Vol. 111, No. 3, pp. 629-641.

[12] Li, D. C., Thermeau, T., Chute, C., & Liu, H. (2014). *Discovering associations among diagnosis groups using topic modeling*. In Proc. of the AMIA Joint Summits on Translational Science Proceedings AMIA Summit on Translational Science, pp. 43-49.

[13] Lin, J. (1991). *Divergence measures based on the Shannon entropy*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 145-151.

[14] R library: Topic Models (2016). <u>https://cran.rproject.org/-web/pack-ages/topicmodels/index.html</u>, last accessed 04/25/16.

[15] Gilks, W. R., Richardson, S., & Spiegelhalter, D. J. (1996). *Introducing Markov chain monte Carlo*. Markov Chain Monte Carlo in Practice, Vol. 1, pp. 1-19.

[16] Collins, A. J., Kasiske, B., Herzog, C. et al. (2007). United States renal data system 2006 annual data report abstract. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, Vol. 49, pp. A6-A7.

[17] O'Brien, T., Nguyen, T. T., & Zimmerman, B. R. (1998). *Hyperlipidemia and diabetes mellitus*. In Mayo Clinic Proceedings, Vol. 73, No. 10, pp. 969-976.

[18] Modan, M., Halkin, H., Almog, S. et al. (1985). *Hyperinsulinemia. A link between hypertension obesity and glucose intolerance*. Journal of Clinical Investigation, Vol. 75, No. 3, pp. 809-817.

[19] Margolis, D. J., Hofstad, O., & Feldman, H. I. (2008). Association between Renal failure and foot ulcer or lower-extremity amputation in patients with Diabetes. Diabetes Care, Vol. 31, No. 7, pp. 1331-1336.