Assessment of Individual Performance in a Group Project

Names of ALL Group Members, including yourself: 1	Names of ALL Group Members, including yourself: 1	MEMBERS. PLEASE BE HONEST IN YOUR TO ASSESS YOUR INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTION.				OIV WILL D	L COL
1	1	Your Name:	1.0				
2	2	Names of ALL Group Members, including y	ourself:				
2	2	1					
Use this form to assess the contributions of everyone in your group, including yourself. Please be accurated and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player A ggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Use this form to assess the contributions of everyone in your group, including yourself. Please be accurate and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above) Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic	1.					
Use this form to assess the contributions of everyone in your group, including yourself. Please be accurated and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic	Use this form to assess the contributions of everyone in your group, including yourself. Please be accurate and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above) Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic	2					
Use this form to assess the contributions of everyone in your group, including yourself. Please be accurated and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic	Use this form to assess the contributions of everyone in your group, including yourself. Please be accurate and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above) Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic						
and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed abov Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above) Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes and the same of the somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree.	3					
and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed abov Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	and honest in your answers. Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above) Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes and the same of the somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree.	Use this form to assess the contributions of e	vervone in vo	ur group, inc	luding vourse	elf. Please be	accurat
Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed abov Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic	Part 1: Using the following scale, rate each member of your group (member 1, member 2, as listed above) Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic		· y y -	6 F ,			
Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Then rate yourself under "You". 5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: ambivalent; 2: somewhat disagree; 1: strongly disagree. Characteristic		mber of your	group (memb	er 1, member	2, as listed	d above
Characteristic Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Characteristic Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Member 1 Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Member 2 Member 3 Member 4 You Toulon		, ,				ĺ
Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others	5: strongly agree; 4: somewhat agree; 3: am	bivalent; 2: s	omewhat dis	agree; 1: stro	ngly disagree	e.
Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Contributes technically sound ideas Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others	Characteristic	Member 1	Member 2	Member 3	Member 4	Vou
Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Effective Planner Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others		Wichiber	Wielfiber 2	Wichiber 5	Welliber 4	104
Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Strong Leader Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Recognizes the difficult issues Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Stays on task A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	A good team player Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Aggressively attacked the assignment A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others	-					
A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	A dependable person Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Considerate of team members' needs Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism	Focuses on improvements beyond minimum Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Offer constructive criticism	Offer constructive criticism Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
	Motivates Others Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
	Does Fair Share Mentors Others						
Does Fair Share	Mentors Others						
	Effective writer						
Effective writer		Effective writer					
			I.	I			
		Part 2:					
Part 2:	Part 2:		ng decisions?				
Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?	Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?	<u>-</u>	_	rams?			
Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions? Which 1-2 team members are the best at planning?	Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions? Which 1-2 team members are the best at planning?						
Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?	Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?			ing cirois. =			
Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions? Which 1-2 team members are the best at planning? Which 1-2 team members are the best at coding initial programs? Which 1-2 team members are the best at identifying and fixing errors?	Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?						
Part 2: Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions? Which 1-2 team members are the best at planning? Which 1-2 team members are the best at coding initial programs? Which 1-2 team members are the best at identifying and fixing errors? Which team members kept you all motivated? Which team members are the most effective writers?	Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?			. who would v	7011 go to?	_	
Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?	Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?					heside each	nerson
Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?	Which 1-2 team members are the best at making decisions?						

Please specify what part of the projects each did. If you all worked together and did not distribute work, just say that. Any final comments?

\$1000. The dollar figures should generally represent the share of the work done by the group members.