## Internetworking and Internet

### Global Addresses

IP servcie model has two parts –

- Datagram (connectionless) packet delivery model
- Global addressing scheme a way to identify all H in the internetwork
- Properties
  - globally unique
  - **hierarchical** network part + host part
- $\longleftrightarrow$  Ethernet's address is globally unique but *flat*



## Datagram Forwarding

- The 'network part' of an IP address uniquely identifies a single physical network
- All H and R sharing the same 'network part' of their address are connected to the same physical network and can thus communicate by sending *frames* over that network



Example – R2's routing table

Network Part Number	Next Hop
1	R3
2	R1
3	interface 1
4	interface 0

Examples – H1  $\longrightarrow$  H2 and H1  $\longrightarrow$  H8

**Hierarchical addressing** – reduce routing table size to achieve *scalability* 

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)



# Address Resolution Protocol (ARP)

Deliver over Physical Networks

- 1. get IP packet to the right physical network
- 2. get IP packet to the right destination node (R or H)
- ⇒ physical interface only understand network technology specific addressing scheme (data-link layer address)

### • Map IP address (of destination H or next hop R) into physical (Data Link Layer) address

- Techniques

\* encode physical address in host part of IP address
\* table of [IP address, physical address] pairs

- Address Resolution Protocol (ARP)
  - $\ast$  each node build table of IP to physical address bindings dynamically
  - \* **broadcast** an **ARP Query** [target IP address] if the IP address is not in table
  - \* **target machine with matching IP address** responds with its physical address
- Encapsulate IP packet inside a frame containing the DLL address for delivery

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

ARP request format for IP-to-**Ethernet** address mapping



- HardwareType type of physical network (e.g., Ethernet)
- ProtocolType type of higher layer protocol (e.g., IP)
- HLEN & PLEN length of physical and protocol (IP) addresses
- Operation request or response
- Source/Target Physical/Protocol addresses

#### Reverse ARP

- $\bullet$  ARP IP address  $\longrightarrow$  DLL address
- $\bullet$  RARP DLL address  $\longrightarrow$  IP address
  - Boot a diskless workstation
  - Use Ethernet broadcast address (all 1s)
     ⇒ restricted within the same LAN (a RARP server is needed for each network)
- BOOTP use UDP to get more configuration information (*e.g.* IP addresses of default router and file server)

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

#### Summary – Lessons Learned

- Heterogeneity
  - best-effort service model
    - \* makes minimum assumption about underlying networks\* is based on unreliable datagram
  - + a common packet format with fragmentation and reassembly for different MTU
  - + a global address space for identifying all hosts with ARP for different physical addresses
- Scale
  - hierarchical aggregation to reduce routing information
  - IP address is partitioned into network and host components with packet first routed toward the destination network and then delivered to the correct host on that network

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

## <u>Global Internet</u>

So far, we have learned how to

- $\bullet$  connect  $\mathbf{heterogeneous}$  networks to create an internetwork
- use the **hierarchy** of IP address to make routing *scalable*
- $\rightarrow$  each R needs *not* know **all the hosts**
- $\rightarrow$  however, each R needs to know **all the networks**
- $\implies$  not enough for *the* **Internet**

Hierarchical structure of the Internet



- multiple sites
- nearby sites are interconnected by regional networks
- regional networks are connected by nationwide backbones (*e.g.* NSFNET)

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

### Scalability Issues and Solutions

- Address utilization inefficient use of address space
  - class B network with 256 hosts (256/65535 = 0.39%) efficient)
  - class C network with 2 hosts (2/255 = 0.78% efficient)
  - $\rightarrow$  use up IP address space quickly
  - $\rightarrow$  the more network #, the bigger the routing table
  - $\Rightarrow$  solution **subnetting**
- Routing scalability too many networks
  - today's Internet has tens of thousands of networks
  - routing tables do not scale
  - rotuing protocols do not scale
  - $\Rightarrow$  solution route propagation
- Balance routing information against address utilization
  - $\Rightarrow$  solution classless routing (CIDR)
- $\blacklozenge$  All based on the principle of **hierarchy**

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

# Subnetting

### Problem – address assignment inefficiency

- assignment of one network # per physical network uses up IP address space much faster than we would like
- $\Rightarrow$  the more network #, the bigger the routing table

Solution – **subnetting** to reduce the total number of network # assigned

- Take a single IP network # and allocate IP addresses with that network # to several physical networks (**subnets**)
  - subnets are *close* to each other with *single point of entry*
  - introduce a subnet # via subnet mask all H on the same physical network have the same subnet #
  - 3-part IP address network part, subnet part, and H part



#### $\diamond$ Add another level of hierarchy into IP address

- H is configured with both an IP address and a subnet mask
- $\bullet$  all H on a subnet are configured with the same subnet #
- *Subnet masks* define variable partition of host part of Class B and C addresses

#### • Subnets visible only within site



UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

#### Forwarding algorithm

- reduce the total number of network numbers assigned
- not use up an entire class B/C address every time a physical network is introduced
- Aggregate information { subnets } as a single network
  - reduce routing information stored in each router

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

## CIDR – Supernetting

#### Problems

- Growth of backbone routing table
- Exhaustion of 32-bit IP address space
- $\Rightarrow$  Subnetting did not resolve the issue that any AS with more than 255 H wants a Class B address
- $\Rightarrow$  Exhaustion of IP address centers on Class B address
- ♠ assign an appropriate # of Class C addresses
   ⇒ more accurately match the amount of address space consumed to the size of an AS
   ⇒ larger routing table
- ⇒ 16 class C addresses (16 entries in routing table) vs. 1 class B address with efficiency  $16 \times 255/65,536 = 6.2\%$

Need to balance the desire to minimize the *#* of routes that a router needs to know against the need to hand out addresses efficiently

**Classless Inter-Domain Routing** – address 2 problems at the same time

- Idea use a single routing table entry to tell how to reach a lot of different networks *route aggregation*
- Mechanism break rigid boundaries between address classes
- Assign a block of **contiguous** network numbers to near-by networks, where each block must contain a number of Class C addresses that is a *power of 2 Rightarrow* addresses that share a common prefix

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

- e.g. 16 contiguous Class C addresses (192.4.16 192.4.31)  $\Rightarrow$  20-bit network # (Class C < # of H < Class B)
- $\Rightarrow$  high address efficiency and single network # in RT
  - network  $\# \equiv \langle mask, IP address \rangle$  with longest match
  - $\bullet$  BGP-4 routers understand CIDR (classless) addressing scheme
- $\diamondsuit$  Subnetting share one address among multiple physical networks
- ◇ CIDR (supernetting) collapse multiple addresses assigned to a single AS onto one address so that BGP advertises the common network prefix



Internet Scalability – Two main problems

- the amount of routing information transmitted between and stored in each node grows as the network grows
- the efficient use of address space
- $\Rightarrow$  add levels of hierarchy, and allow enough flexibility to accommodate the complex structure of the Internet

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)

# Internetworking – Summary

- Goal build large networks by interconnecting smaller ones
- $\bullet$  Issues  $\mathbf{heterogeneity}$  and  $\mathbf{scale}$
- Key tool Internet Protocol (IP)
- $\bullet$  Heterogeneity a simple service model for an internetwork
  - best-effort IP datagram delivery
  - $\Rightarrow$  simple enough for any networking technology
  - global addressing scheme
  - $\Rightarrow$  ARP: IP address  $\longmapsto$  DLL address
- Scale
  - Issues
    - $\ast$  efficient use of address space
    - \* growth of routing table as the Internet grows
  - Solutions
    - \* hierarchical IP address format (network + host)
    - \* subnetting add one more level of hierarchy to address  $\Rightarrow$  make more efficient use of network # and consolidate routing information
    - \* autonomous system partition the routing problem into two parts  $\Rightarrow$  intra-domain and inter-domain
    - \* CIDR and BGP-4 introduce more levels of hierarchy and achieve further routing aggregation
- IPv6 Next Generation IP

UDel CISC 650 (CCS)