#### **Cisco Cooperative Project**



# **Channel Access for LAA**

Student: Li Li Advisors: Len Cimini, Chien-Chung Shen

May 18, 2016



### ≻Channel selection



#### ✤802.11ac's channel bonding



- The backoffprocedure is only performed on the primary channel, secondary channel(s) perform a one-shot CCA.
- Only certain channel bonding configurations are allowed.
- The designated primary channel should always be part of the channel bonding configurations.



#### Detection threshold

Channel Width	CCA-CS (primary)	CCA-CS (secondary)	CCA-ED
20 MHz	-82	-72	-62
40 MHz	-79	-72	-59
80 MHz	-76	-69	-56

## Multi-carrier LBT, Alt 1: eNB performs LBT Cat 4 on only one unlicensed carrier (Wi-Fi like)<sup>[1]</sup>

- The eNB shall choose the carrier requiring LBT uniformly randomly before each transmission burst or fix the carrier at least for 1 sec
- The energy detection threshold used on channels not performing LBT is same as the one used on channel performing LBT
- A single backoff counter should be used for the carrier on which LBT is performed.

Multi-carrier LBT, Alt 2: eNB performs LBT Cat 4 on more than one unlicensed carriers

The eNB can transmit on the carriers that has completed LBT with potential self-deferral to align transmission over multiple carriers.



[1] Nokia, Alcatel-Lucent, "R1-160915: Discussion on Multi-Carrier LBT for LAA DL," Feb. 15, 2016

## ✤Work to do

- Evaluate the performance for LAA with Alt 1 and Alt 2
  - ✓ LAA with carrier aggregation for any idle channels
  - $\checkmark$  LAA with channel bonding as Wi-Fi does
  - LAA with carrier aggregation for every four contiguous channels

#### ✤ Maximize area spectral efficiency<sup>[2]</sup>



Spectral efficiency

$$S_e(\mathbf{x}, c) = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_L l(\mathbf{x}_n^a, \mathbf{x})}{\sum\limits_{i \in S_c^A, i \neq n} P_L l(\mathbf{x}_i^a, \mathbf{x}) + \sum\limits_{m \in S_c^W} P_W l(\mathbf{x}_m^w, \mathbf{x}) + N} \right)$$

[2] C. Ibars, A. Bhorkar, A. Papathanassiou, P. P. Zong, (Intel), "Channel Selection for Licensed Assisted Access in LTE Based on UE Measurements", 2015 VTC Fall

## Maximize area spectral efficiency<sup>[2]</sup>

Area Spectral efficiency

$$S(A_n, c) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_n} \int_{\mathcal{A}_n} S_e(\mathbf{x}, c) d\mathbf{x},$$

Optimization problem

$$\max_{I(n,c)} \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} S(A_n, c) I(n, c)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{c=1\\I \in 0, 1.}}^C I(n, c) \le 1$$

I(n,c) = 1, if eNB A<sub>n</sub> transmit data on channel c; I(n,c) = 0, otherwise.

Integer linear program (NP complete). Simulated annealing algorithm: check whether a neighbor solution is better or not in each iteration.

#### ✤ Maximize area spectral efficiency<sup>[2]</sup>



#### Maximize number of LAA-UEs sharing *M* channels

- ➢ For uplink transmissions, assuming the probability of interference energy from Wi-Fi sensed to exceed a threshold at each channel is p.
- Randomly choose the channel to transmit

 $\mathbf{I}_{m,i} = \begin{cases} 1, i \text{th LAA-UE selects the } m \text{th resource batch,} \\ 0, \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$ 

 $\succ$  The probability that the *m*-th channel is selected without collisions

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathbf{I}_{m,i}=1\right\}=N\left(\frac{1-p^{M}}{M}\right)\left(1-\frac{1-p^{M}}{M}\right)^{N-1}$$

[3] S. Y. Lien, J. Lee, and Y. C. Liang, "Random Access or Scheduling: Optimum LTE Licensed-Assisted Access to Unlicensed Spectrum," in IEEE Communication Letters, Mar. 2016.

#### Maximize number of LAA-UEs sharing M channels<sup>[3]</sup>

Optimization problem

$$N^* = \arg\max_{N} \left\{ N\left(\frac{1-p^M}{M}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1-p^M}{M}\right)^{N-1} \right\}$$

#### > Solution

$$N^* = \begin{cases} \frac{M}{1-p^M}, & \text{if } \frac{M}{1-p^M} \text{ is an integer,} \\ \lfloor \frac{M}{1-p^M} \rfloor, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$N^* = \left\lfloor \frac{M}{1 - p^M} \right\rfloor \ge M$$

#### Previous work

- ✓ 802.11ac with dynamic 80/40/20 MHz (primary channel requires to be included in any bandwidth )
- ✓ LAA works in 20 MHz bandwidth
- ✓ Channel selection depends on load rates (Ignore delay, from probability perspective)

#### Example 1: 2 pairs, $p_{AC} = p_{LAA} = 0.2$

To achieve the highest effective bandwidth (throughput), both 802.11ac and LAA will choose the same subchannel (e.g. #1). EB(1,1) = 0.2 \* 80 + 0.2 \* 20 = 20EB(1,2) = 0.2 \* (0.8 \* 80 + 0.2 \* 20) + 0.2 \* 20 = 17.6EB(1,3) = 0.2 \* (0.8 \* 80 + 0.2 \* 40) + 0.2 \* 20 = 18.4



#### Example 2: 2 pairs, $p_{AC} = p_{LAA} = 1$

To achieve the highest effective bandwidth (throughput), 802.11ac chooses #1, and LAA choose #3 or #4.

 $EB_{max} = EB(1,3) = 1 * 40 + 1 * 20 = 60$ 

#### Previous work

Let  $h_{ij}$  denote whether the *j*-th transmitter choose the *i*-th subchannel. To maximize the total effective bandwidth, one possible model is Primary Secondary Secondary

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{possible finder is } \underbrace{\text{primary}}_{20 \text{ MHz}} & \underbrace{\text{secondary}}_{20 \text{ MHz}} & \underbrace{\text{secondary}}_{40 \text{ MHz}} \\ \text{maximize } \underbrace{\sum_{i \in C} \sum_{j \in S_{AC}} p_j h_{ij} \left(1 + \prod_{i \in i_1} \sum_{j \in \overline{j}} (1 - p_j h_{ij}) \left(1 + 2 \prod_{i \in i_2} \sum_{j \in \overline{j}} (1 - p_j h_{ij}) \right) \right) + \sum_{i \in C} \sum_{j \in S_{LAA}} p_j h_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i}^{K} h_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall j \in S \\ p_j h_{ij} = \min\{p_j h_{ij}, 1 / \sum_{j \in S} h_{ij}\} & \forall i \in C, \quad \forall j \in S \\ j \cup \overline{j} = S \\ & h_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \\ i_1 = \begin{cases} 2 & i = 1 \\ 1 & i = 2 \\ 4 & i = 3 \\ 3 & i = 4 \end{cases} & i_2 = \begin{cases} \{3, 4\} & i = 1 \\ \{3, 4\} & i = 2 \\ \{1, 2\} & i = 3 \\ \{1, 2\} & i = 4 \end{cases} \end{array}$$

#### ↔ Work to do

- To do optimization, a lot of assumptions are required: ignore the procedure of CSMA/LBT, using probability instead of traffic model, and so on
- Simplify the model, sub-optimal solutions
- For 3GPP scenario, work on selecting channel based on measurements