#### **Approaches to Adversarial Drift**

Alex Kantchelian, Sadia Afroz, Ling Huang, Aylin Caliskan Islam, Brad Miller, Michael Carl Tschantz, Rachel Greenstadt, Anthony D. Joseph & J. D. Tygar

Elham Baqazi

CISC850 Cyber Analytics



# Outline

- Challenges of applying ML systems for security applications
- Exploratory & Causative attack
- Families Isolation & Responsiveness
- Data Exploration



# **Adversarial Drift**

- Designing changes to evade the classifier immediately or to make future evasion easier
- Handling the adversarial drift

CISC850 Cyber Analytics

#### Machine learning in Security Application

- One-Shot Approach
  - Training data
  - Building the model
  - Testing data

CISC850 Cyber Analytics

## **Problem Statement**

- Security Apps data: Big & non-stationary data, drift over the time
- The typical ML approach fail

CISC850 Cyber Analytics

## **Proposed Solution**

Designing adaptive, adversarial-resistant ML systems

- Ensemble of classifiers
- Responsive classifier



# Formalism

Retraining the system to learn from new instances

- Producing a series of models Ht
- Ht (xi) = c(xi) [correctly classifies ]



# **Population Drift**

- Xt (x) is the probability of encountering instance "x" at time t
- Adversaries post new malware X<sub>t+1</sub>
- Population Drift  $\rightarrow$  Xt != Xt'



# **Types of Attacks**

Exploratory attacks

Causative attacks



#### **Exploratory Attacks**



https://mascherari.press/introduction-to-adversarial-machine-learning/



#### **Causative Attacks**





#### **Families and Isolation**





## Families and Isolation

- Training classifiers
  - One-vs-all method
  - One-vs-good method
  - Isolation
- Combining classification



## Responsiveness

- Why it being overlooked?
  - Zero training error, poor generalization
  - Unreliable training data.

- Wrapped ML algorithm
  - Blacklist & Whitelist



# Evaluation

- Executable malware dataset with chronological appearance for each instance.
- Demonstrating the importance of temporal drift in a very adversarial environment.
- Improving the robustness of ML algorithms.



#### Data Exploration - Dataset

• Sampled from two stratums :

• TimeStamp, Label, Feature vector

	Old: Apr '07-Mar '13	New: Apr '13- Jul '13
Benign	85549	8803
Malware	40861	82984
Total	126410	91787



# Top 10 Families

Family	# of instances	Duration
worm:win32/vobfus	14203	10/2008 - 06/2013
trojandownloader:win32/beebone	11125	03/2012 - 06/2013
pws:win32/zbot	5691	01/2008 - 06/2013
adware:win32/hotbar	3913	09/2010 - 07/2013
virus:win32/ramnit	2387	11/2010 - 06/2013
trojan:win32/ramnit	2078	12/2010 - 06/2013
rogue:win32/winwebsec	2022	05/2009 - 06/2013
trojan:win32/killav	1917	11/2007 - 06/2013
trojan:win32/vundo	1601	11/2007 - 06/2013
worm:win32/allaple	1567	05/2007 - 06/2013



## Experiments – Approach

An empirical loss minimization approach

$$\mathbf{w} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}} \max(0, 1 - y\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w})^2$$



# Data Exploration – Experiments 1

- Splitting the dataset into two epochs [mid-April], 60,000 malware in each period
- Train two-class SVM models
  - Regularization factor:  $10^{-5} < C < 1$
  - False Positive Rate (FPR) < 1%
- Calculating the Performance by two ways







# Result 1 \_ conclusion

- The evaluation of ML based on security system should
  - Temporal nature of the instances
  - Avoid Random-cross-validation



# Data Exploration – Experiments 2

- Fixed the testing set [most recent instances]
- Train SVM models
- Constant  $C = 10^{-4}$
- Constant FPR < 1%
- Ignore the temporal order







# Conclusion

- Drift must be organized to limit the impact of campaigns
- Zero training error of high-impact instance means correctly classification
- Drift and temporal order must be respected in term of detector accuracy



# Thank you Questions?