

Top Down Parsing - Part I

Copyright 2010, Keith D. Cooper & Linda Torczon, all rights reserved.



Top-down parsers (LL(1), recursive descent)

- Start at root of parse tree and grow toward leaves
- Pick a production & try to match the input
- Bad "pick" ⇒ may need to backtrack
- Some grammars are backtrack-free



Top-down Parsing

- Starts with root of parse tree
- Root node is labeled with goal symbol
- Expand all non-terminals (NT) at fringe of tree



Top-down parsing algorithm



Construct the root node of parse tree Repeat until <u>lower fringe matches input string</u>

- 1 At node labeled A, select production with A on LHS and, for each symbol on RHS, construct appropriate child
- 2 If terminal symbol added to fringe doesn't match input, backtrack
- 3 Find the next node (NT) to be expanded

The key is picking the right production in step 1 — That choice should be guided by the input string Remember the expression grammar?



And the input $\underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y}$



Let's try $\underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y}$:

Rule	Sentential Form	Input
—	Goal	1 <u>×-2</u> *y

Goa





				\checkmark	
Rule	Sentential Form	Input			
—	Goal	<u>↑x - 2 * y</u>	(Expr	\
0	Expr	↑ <u>×</u> - <u>2</u> * ¥	Expr	↓ +	Term
1	Expr + Term	<u>↑x - 2 * y</u>			
3	Term + Term	<u>↑x</u> - <u>2</u> * <u>y</u>	Term		
6	Factor + Term	<u>↑x</u> - <u>2</u> * <u>y</u>	, t		
9	<id,<u>x> + Term</id,<u>	↑ <u>×</u> - <u>2</u> * ¥	Fact.		
\rightarrow	<id,<u>x> + Term</id,<u>	<u>×</u> ↑- <u>2</u> * ¥			
			<iu,x></iu,x>		

This worked well, except that "-" doesn't match "+" The parser must backtrack to here

Goal





 \Rightarrow Now, we need to expand Term - the last NT on the fringe





- We have more input, but no NTs left to expand
- The expansion terminated too soon
- ⇒ Need to backtrack



Trying again with "2" in $\underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y}$: Goal Rule Sentential Form Input Expr <id,x> - Term <u>×</u> - ↑<u>2</u> * ¥ \rightarrow <id,<u>x</u>> - Term * Factor <u>x</u> - ↑<u>2</u> * y 4 Expr Term <id,<u>x</u>> - Factor * Factor <u>x</u> - ↑<u>2</u> * <u>y</u> 6 <id,<u>×</u>> - <num,<u>2</u>> * Factor <u>×</u> - ↑<u>2</u> * ¥ 8 Fact. (**Term**) Term \rightarrow <*id*,<u>x</u>> - <*num*,<u>2</u>> * Factor <u>x</u> - <u>2</u> \uparrow * <u>y</u> <id,y> \rightarrow <id, \underline{x} > - <num, $\underline{2}$ > * Factor $\underline{x} - \underline{2} * \uparrow \underline{y}$ (Fact.) (Fact.) 9 $\langle id, \underline{x} \rangle - \langle num, \underline{z} \rangle * \langle id, \underline{y} \rangle \times \underline{x} - \underline{z} * \uparrow \underline{y}$ <id,x> <num,2> $\rightarrow \langle id, \underline{x} \rangle - \langle num, \underline{2} \rangle * \langle id, \underline{y} \rangle \times \underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y}$

The Point:

The parser must make the right choice when it expands a NT. Wrong choices lead to wasted effort.



Another possible parse

Other choices for expansion are possible

Rule	Sentential Form	Input
_	Goal	↑ <u>×</u> - <u>2</u> * γ
0	Expr	$\uparrow \underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y} \leq Consumes no input!$
1	Expr + Term	<u> x-2*y</u>
1	Expr + Term + Term	↑ <u>×</u> <u>2</u> * <u>×</u>
1	Expr + Term + Term + Term	↑ <u>×</u> + <u>2</u> * <u>γ</u>
1	And so on	<u>_2 * y</u>

This expansion doesn't terminate

- Wrong choice of expansion leads to non-termination
- Non-termination is a bad property for a parser to have
- Parser must make the right choice



Top-down parsers cannot handle left-recursive grammars

Formally,

A grammar is left recursive if $\exists A \in NT$ such that $\exists a \text{ derivation } A \Rightarrow^{+} A\alpha$, for some string $\alpha \in (NT \cup T)^{+}$

Left Recursion



Our classic expression grammar is left recursive

- This can lead to non-termination in a top-down parser
- In top-down parser, any recursion must be right recursion
- We would like to convert the left recursion to right recursion

Non-termination is <u>always</u> a bad property in a compiler



To remove left recursion, we can transform the grammar

```
Consider a grammar fragment of the form 

Fee \rightarrow Fee \alpha

\mid \beta

where neither \alpha nor \beta start with Fee
```



The new grammar defines the same language as the old grammar, using only right recursion.

Added a reference to the empty string



The expression grammar contains two cases of left recursion

$$Expr \rightarrow Expr + Term$$
Term \rightarrow Term * Factor $\mid Expr - Term$ \mid Term * Factor \mid Term \mid Factor

Fee
$$\rightarrow \beta$$
 Fie
Fie $\rightarrow \alpha$ Fie
| ϵ



The expression grammar contains two cases of left recursion

Expr→Expr + TermTerm→Term * Factor|Expr - Term|Term * Factor|Term|Factor

Applying the transformation yields

Expr	→ Term Expr'	Term →	Factor Term'
Expr'	→ + Term Expr'	Term' →	* Factor Term'
	- Term Expr'		/ Factor Term'
	3		8

These fragments use only right recursion



Substituting them back into the grammar yields

0	Goal	\rightarrow	Expr
1	Expr	\rightarrow	Term Expr'
2	Expr'	\rightarrow	+ Term Expr'
3			- Term Expr'
4			8
5	Term	\rightarrow	Factor Term'
6	Term'	\rightarrow	* Factor Term'
7			/ Factor Term'
8			ε
9	Factor	\rightarrow	<u>(</u> Expr <u>)</u>
10			<u>number</u>
11		Ι	id

- This grammar is correct, if somewhat non-intuitive.
- A top-down parser will terminate using it.
- A top-down parser may need to backtrack with it.

Eliminating Left Recursion



The transformation eliminates immediate left recursion What about more general, indirect left recursion ?

The general algorithm:

arrange the NTs into some order $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$ for $i \leftarrow 1$ to nfor $s \leftarrow 1$ to i - 1replace each production $A_i \rightarrow A_s \gamma$ with $A_i \rightarrow \delta_1 \gamma | \delta_2 \gamma | ... | \delta_k \gamma$, where $A_s \rightarrow \delta_1 | \delta_2 | ... | \delta_k$ are all the current productions for A_s eliminate any immediate left recursion on A_i using the direct transformation

This assumes that the initial grammar has no cycles $(A_i \Rightarrow^* A_i)$, and no epsilon productions



How does this algorithm work?

- 1. Impose arbitrary order on the non-terminals
- 2. Outer loop cycles through NT in order
- 3. Inner loop ensures that a production expanding A_i has no non-terminal A_s in its *rhs*, for s < i
- 4. Last step in outer loop converts any direct recursion on A_i to right recursion using the transformation showed earlier
- 5. New non-terminals are added at the end of the order & have no left recursion
- At the start of the *i*th outer loop iteration For all k < i, no production that expands A_k contains a non-terminal A_s in its rhs, for s < k



• Order of symbols: G, E, T

1. A _i = G	2. A _i = E	3. $A_i = T, A_s = E$	4. $A_i = T$
$G \rightarrow E$	$G \rightarrow E$	$G \rightarrow E$	$G \rightarrow E$
$E \rightarrow E + T$	<i>E</i> → <i>TE</i> ′	E→ TE'	E→ TE'
$E \rightarrow T$	$E' \rightarrow + T E'$	E'→+TE'	E'→+ T E'
$T \rightarrow E * T$	Ε' → ε	Ε' → ε	Ε' → ε
$T \rightarrow \underline{id}$	$T \rightarrow E * T$	$T \rightarrow TE' * T$	<i>T</i> → <u>id</u> <i>T</i> ′
	<i>T</i> → <u>id</u>	<i>T</i> → <u>id</u>	<i>T'→ E' * T T'</i>

7'→ ε

