ELEG404/604: Imaging & Deep Learning

Gonzalo R. Arce

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of Delaware

Chapter I: Image Formation

Single Lens Reflex Camera (SLR)

Nikon F4
(film camera)

Why not Use Sensors Without Optics?



(London)

- each point on sensor would record the integral of light arriving from every point on subject
- all sensor points would record similar colors

Pinhole camera (a.k.a. *camera obscura*)

2D planar geometric projection



- linear perspective with viewpoint at pinhole
- tilting the picture plane changes the number and location of vanishing points

Equivalence of Dürer's Glass and Camera Obscura (Contents of Whiteboard)

camera obscura

Dürer's glass



- both devices compute <u>2D planar geometric projections</u>, i.e. projections along straight lines through a point and onto a plane
  - the images differ only in scale (and a reflection around the origin)

Pinhole Photography

- no distortion
  - straight lines remain straight
- infinite depth of field
  - everything is in focus



In response to a question I didn't hear clearly, I may have incorrectly affirmed that pinhole images will exhibit chromatic aberration. They will exhibit diffraction artifacts, which we'll talk about next week, but not chromatic aberration, which refers to artifacts specifically produced by lenses.



(Bami Adedoyin)



Effect of Pinhole Size





(London)

Effect of Pinhole Size



(London)

Niepce “View from the Window at Le Gras”, 1826



1826
8h exp

The Lensbaby Obscura duo


1,680 × 1,661

Replacing the Pinhole with a Lens



(London)

Replacing the Pinhole with a Lens

- a photographic camera produces the same 2D planar geometric projection as a *camera obscura*
  - a lens replaces the pinhole, and film or a digital sensor becomes the picture plane
  - rotating the camera (and lens) around the lens's center adds or removes vanishing points



(London)

Daguerrotype

- invented in 1836 by Louis Daguerre
- lenses focus light, better chemicals!

Daguerre "Boulevard du Temple", 1838



exposure
10-12 mins

Lenses

- focus light
- magnify objects



Nimrud lens - 2700 years old

Geometrical Optics

- parallel rays converge to a point located at focal length f from lens



- rays going through center of lens are not deviated
  - hence same perspective as pinhole



Gauss's Ray Tracing Construction



- rays coming from points on a plane parallel to the lens are focused to points on another plane parallel to the lens

Changing the Focus Distance

- to focus on objects at different distances, move sensor relative to lens
- in a handheld camera, one actually moves the lens, not the sensor



by convention, the "focus distance" is on the object side of the lens

Changing the Focal Length

- weaker lenses have longer focal lengths
- to keep the same object in focus, move the sensor further back
- focused image of tree is located slightly beyond the focal length



(Kingslake)

The tree would be in focus at the lens focal length only if it were infinitely far away.

Changing the Focal Length

- if the sensor size is constant, the field of view becomes smaller



(Kingslake)

$$FOV = 2\ arctan\ (h\ /\ 2\ f\ )$$

Focal Length and Field of View



(London)

FOV measured diagonally on a
35mm full-frame camera (24 × 36mm)

Focal Length and Field of View





(London)

FOV measured diagonally on a
35mm full-frame camera (24 × 36mm)

Field of View



Hubble – what's the focal length?



Lenses - Aberrations









Lenses - Aberrations

Sharp Image

Blurred Image due to optical aberrations

Changing the Sensor Size

- if the sensor size is smaller, the field of view is smaller too
- smaller sensors either have fewer pixels, or smaller pixels, which are noisier



(Kingslake)

http://www.engadget.com/2011/12/16/engadget-primed-why-your-cameras-sensor-size-matters/

Sensor sizes



“full frame”
Canon 5D Mark III
(24mm × 36mm)

“APS-C”
Nikon D3100
(15.5mm × 23.7mm)
(~1.5× crop factor)

“micro 4/3”
Olympus OMD-EM5
(13mm × 17.3mm)
(~2× crop factor)

“point-and-shoot”
Sony RX-100
(8.8mm × 13.2mm)
(~2.7× crop factor)

$$\text{crop factor} = \frac{\text{width of full-frame sensor}}{\text{width of this sensor}}$$

multiply focal length × crop factor when comparing angular FOVs



iPhone 6s is 3.6mm × 4.8mm

Nexus 6P is 4.5mm × 6.2mm

Changing the Focal Length Versus Changing the Viewpoint

(Kingslake)



wide-angle

telephoto and moved back

- changing the focal length lets us move back from a subject, while maintaining its size on the image
- but moving back changes perspective relationships

Effect of Focal Length on Portraits

- standard "portrait lens" is 85mm

wide angle

standard

telephoto

Exposure

- H = E × T
- exposure = irradiance × time

- irradiance (E)
  - amount of light falling on a unit area of sensor per second
  - controlled by aperture

- exposure time (T)
  - in seconds
  - controlled by shutter

Single Lens Reflex Camera



Shutters





- quiet
- slow (max 1/500s)
- out of focus
- need one per lens

Shutters



Someone asked why SLRs can't use electronic shutters like cell phones. My answer could have been clearer. They can and do for the live electronic (non-optical) viewfinder, as I showed in lecture, but these images have low resolution. For snapshots, which utilize the full resolution of the sensor, it's impossible to read off this many pixels fast enough to accomplish short shutter speeds; the focal plane distortion would be severe.



(London)

- quiet
- slow (max 1/500s)
- out of focus
- need one per lens

- loud
- fast (max 1/4000)
- in focus
- distorts motion

Jacques-Henri Lartigue, Grand Prix (1912)

Shutter Speed

- controls how long the sensor is exposed to light
- linear effect on exposure until sensor saturates
- denoted in fractions of a second:
  - 1/2000, 1/1000,…,1/250, 1/125, 1/60,…,15, 30, B(ulb)
- normal humans can hand-hold down to 1/60 second
  - *rule of thumb:* longest exposure = 1 / *f*
  - e.g. 1/180 second for a 180mm lens

using 35mm equivalent focal length

GF1 (2× crop) with Leica 90mm

Main Side-Effect of Shutter Speed

- motion blur
- doubling exposure time doubles motion blur



(London)

Useful Shutter Speeds

1/25 sec (lucky!)

1/40 sec

Useful Shutter Speeds

Useful Shutter Speeds

How Fast is a Volleyball Spike?

Women's volleyball

(Canon 1DIII, 1/800 second)

- derive required shutter speed from length of motion blur
- 5 pixels in 1/800sec ⇒ 1 pixel in 1/4000 sec !

Women's volleyball

(Canon 1DIII, 1/800 second)

focal plane shutter distortion

Aperture

- irradiance on sensor is proportional to
  - square of aperture diameter A
  - inverse square of distance to sensor (~ focal length f)

Irradiance on Sensor (Contents of Whiteboard)





- As the diameter A of the aperture doubles, its area (hence the light that can get through it) increases by 4× (first drawing).
- Think of the lens as a collection of pinholes, each having a fixed angular field of view (cone in 2nd drawing) determined by the lens design.
- A certain amount of light gets through each pinhole. By conservation of energy, that light will fall on whatever sensor is placed in its path.
- If the distance to the sensor is doubled, the area intersecting the cone increases by 4×, so the light falling per unit area decreases by 4×.

Aperture

- irradiance on sensor is proportional to
  - square of aperture diameter A
  - inverse square of distance to sensor (~ focal length f)
- so that aperture values give irradiance regardless of focal length, *aperture number* N is defined relative to focal length

$$N = \frac{f}{A}$$

  - f/2.0 on a 50mm lens means the aperture is 25mm
  - f/2.0 on a 100mm lens means the aperture is 50mm
  - ∴ low F-number (N) on long telephotos require fat lenses

Example F-number Calculations (Contents of Whiteboard)



- A relative aperture size (called F-number or just N) of 2 is sometimes written f/2, reflecting the fact that the absolute aperture (A) can be computed by dividing focal length (f) by the relative aperture (N).
- As this drawing shows, doubling both the absolute aperture diameter (A) and the focal length (f) cancel; leaving the same relative aperture size (N). In this example, both lenses are f/2.

Aperture

As Florian Kainz pointed out during lecture (and Peter Sherman explained in the dory), T-stops are used by videographers in place of F-stops (or N) because they include light loss due to transmission through the lens. T-stops let you compute exposure more accurately, but you need F-stops to compute depth of field.

- irradiance on sensor is proportional to
  - square of aperture diameter A
  - inverse square of distance to sensor (~ focal length f)
- so that aperture values give irradiance regardless of lens, *aperture number* N is defined relative to focal length

$$N = \frac{f}{A}$$

  - f/2.0 on a 50mm lens means the aperture is 25mm
  - f/2.0 on a 100mm lens means the aperture is 50mm
  - ∴ low F-number (N) on long zooms require fat lenses
- doubling N reduces A by 2×, hence light by 4×
  - going from f/2.0 to f/4.0 cuts light by 4×
  - to cut light by 2×, increase N by $\sqrt{2}$

f/2.8 f/4 f/5.6 f/8 f/11 f/16 f/22



(London)

Q. Does an f/2 cell phone lens gather as much light from each patch of the scene as an f/2 SLR lens?

- a smaller lens is accompanied by a smaller focal length, in order to keep the angular field of view constant
- thus, N (= f/A) stays constant

- for each scene patch, a smaller lens gathers less light
- but due to the smaller focal length, it concentrates this light into a smaller area on the sensor
- thus, the amount of light per unit area stays constant

- a smaller focal length is accompanied by smaller pixels, in order to keep the pixel count constant
- so the scene patch covers the same number of pixels, but they are smaller, hence fewer photons, hence noisier

Main Side-Effect of Aperture

- depth of field
- doubling N (two f/stops) doubles depth of field

(London)

Depth of Field



http://photographywisdom.com/

Depth of field (briefly)

This figure isn't quite right; we'll fix it next week

- a point in the scene is focused at a point on the sensor
- if we move the sensor in depth, the point becomes blurred
- if it blurs too much, it exceeds our allowable *circle of confusion*
- the zone in which it's sharp enough is called the *depth of focus*
- this corresponds in the scene to a *depth of field*
- halving the aperture diameter doubles the depth of field

(London)







Depth of Field & Motion Blur



London, Photography

References

- Slides are adapted from Gordon Wetzstein - Digital Photography I
- Slides adapted from Marc Levoy - Lectures on Digital Photography