



UNIVERSITY OF  
DELAWARE

# FSAN-815/ELEG-815: Foundations of Statistical Learning

Gonzalo R. Arce

Chapter 3: Eigen Analysis

Fall 2014

# Course Objectives & Structure

The course provides an introduction to the mathematics of data analysis and a detailed overview of statistical models for inference and prediction.

## Course Structure:

- Weekly lectures [notes: [www.ece.udel.edu/~arce/Courses](http://www.ece.udel.edu/~arce/Courses)]
- Homework & computer assignments [30%]
- Midterm & Final examinations [70%]

## Textbooks:

- Papoulis and Pillai, Probability, random variables, and stochastic processes.
- Hastie, Tibshirani and Friedman, The elements of statistical learning.
- Haykin, Adaptive Filter Theory.

# Eigen Analysis

**Objective:** Utilize tools from linear algebra to characterize and analyze matrices, especially the correlation matrix

- The correlation matrix plays a large role in statistical characterization and processing.
- Previously result:  $\mathbf{R}$  is Hermitian.
- Further insight into the correlation matrix is achieved through eigen analysis
  - Eigenvalues and vectors
  - Matrix diagonalization
  - Application: Optimum filtering problems

**Objective:** For a Hermitian matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ , find a vector  $\mathbf{q}$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q} = \lambda\mathbf{q}$$

- **Interpretation:** Linear transformation by  $\mathbf{R}$  changes the scale, but not the direction of  $\mathbf{q}$
- **Fact:** A  $M \times M$  matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  has  $M$  eigenvectors and eigenvalues

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$$

To see this, note

$$(\mathbf{R} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$$

For this to be true, the row/columns of  $(\mathbf{R} - \lambda\mathbf{I})$  must be linearly dependent,

$$\Rightarrow \det(\mathbf{R} - \lambda\mathbf{I}) = 0$$

**Note:**  $\det(\mathbf{R} - \lambda \mathbf{I})$  is a  $M$ th order polynomial in  $\lambda$

- The roots of the polynomial are the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M$

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i$$

- Each eigenvector  $\mathbf{q}_i$  is associated with one eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$
- The eigenvectors are not unique

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i &= \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}(a\mathbf{q}_i) &= \lambda_i(a\mathbf{q}_i)\end{aligned}$$

**Consequence:** eigenvectors are generally normalized, e.g.,  $|\mathbf{q}_i| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$

## Example (General two dimensional case)

Let  $M = 2$  and

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{1,1} & R_{1,2} \\ R_{2,1} & R_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Determine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{R} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} R_{1,1} - \lambda & R_{1,2} \\ R_{2,1} & R_{2,2} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda^2 - \lambda(R_{1,1} + R_{2,2}) + (R_{1,1}R_{2,2} - R_{1,2}R_{2,1}) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (R_{1,1} + R_{2,2}) \pm \sqrt{4R_{1,2}R_{2,1} + (R_{1,1} - R_{2,2})^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Back substitution yields the eigenvectors:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{1,1} - \lambda & R_{1,2} \\ R_{2,1} & R_{2,2} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In general, this yields a set of linear equations. In the  $M = 2$  case:

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{1,1} - \lambda)q_1 + R_{1,2}q_2 &= 0 \\ R_{2,1}q_1 + (R_{2,2} - \lambda)q_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Solving the set of linear equations for a specific eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  yields the corresponding eigenvector,  $\mathbf{q}_i$

### Example (Two-dimensional white noise)

Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the correlation matrix of a two-sample vector of zero mean white noise

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Carrying out the analysis yields eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (R_{1,1} + R_{2,2}) \pm \sqrt{4R_{1,2}R_{2,1} + (R_{1,1} - R_{2,2})^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\sigma^2 + \sigma^2) \pm \sqrt{0 + (\sigma^2 - \sigma^2)^2} \right] = \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

and eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{q}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{q}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Note:** The eigenvectors are unit length (and orthogonal)



# Eigen Properties

## Property (eigenvalues of $\mathbf{R}^k$ )

If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}$ , then  $\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \dots, \lambda_M^k$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ .

**Proof:** Note  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_j = \lambda_j\mathbf{q}_j$ . Multiplying both sides by  $\mathbf{R}$   $k - 1$  times,

$$\mathbf{R}^k\mathbf{q}_j = \lambda_j\mathbf{R}^{k-1}\mathbf{q}_j = \lambda_j^k\mathbf{q}_j$$

## Property (linear independence of eigenvectors)

The eigenvectors  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M$ , of  $\mathbf{R}$  are linearly independent, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^M a_i\mathbf{q}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$$

for all nonzero scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_M$ .

## Property (Correlation matrix eigenvalues are real & nonnegative)

The eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}$  are real and nonnegative.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i &= \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_i^H\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i &= \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i^H\mathbf{q}_i \quad [\text{pre-multiply by } \mathbf{q}_i^H] \\ \Rightarrow \lambda_i &= \frac{\mathbf{q}_i^H\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i}{\mathbf{q}_i^H\mathbf{q}_i} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Follows from the facts:  $\mathbf{R}$  is positive semi-definite and  $\mathbf{q}_i^H\mathbf{q}_i = |\mathbf{q}_i|^2 > 0$

**Note:** In most cases,  $\mathbf{R}$  is positive definite and

$$\lambda_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, M$$

## Property (Unique eigenvalues $\Rightarrow$ orthogonal eigenvectors)

If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M$  are unique eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}$ , then the corresponding eigenvectors,  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M$ , are orthogonal.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i &= \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_j^H\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i &= \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_j^H\mathbf{q}_i \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

Also, since  $\lambda_j$  is real and  $\mathbf{R}$  is Hermitian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_j &= \lambda_j\mathbf{q}_j \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_j^H\mathbf{R} &= \lambda_j\mathbf{q}_j^H \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_j^H\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i &= \lambda_j\mathbf{q}_j^H\mathbf{q}_i \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the LHS from (\*)

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_j^H\mathbf{q}_i = \lambda_j\mathbf{q}_j^H\mathbf{q}_i$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_i \mathbf{q}_j^H \mathbf{q}_i &= \lambda_j \mathbf{q}_j^H \mathbf{q}_i \\ \Rightarrow (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \mathbf{q}_j^H \mathbf{q}_i &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M$  are unique

$$\mathbf{q}_j^H \mathbf{q}_i = 0 \quad i \neq j$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M$  are orthogonal.

QED

# Diagonalization of $\mathbf{R}$

**Objective:** Find a transformation that transforms the correlation matrix into a diagonal matrix.

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M$  be unique eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}$  and take  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M$  to be the  $M$  orthonormal eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{q}_i^H \mathbf{q}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Define  $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M]$  and  $\mathbf{\Omega} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M)$ . Then consider

$$\mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1^H \\ \mathbf{q}_2^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_M^H \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1^H \\ \mathbf{q}_2^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_M^H \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M] \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1^H \\ \mathbf{q}_2^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_M^H \end{bmatrix} [\lambda_1 \mathbf{q}_1, \lambda_2 \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \lambda_N \mathbf{q}_M] \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_M \end{bmatrix} \\
\Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q} &= \mathbf{\Omega} \quad (\text{eigenvector diagonalization of } \mathbf{R})
\end{aligned}$$

Property (**Q** is unitary)

**Q** is **unitary**, i.e.,  $\mathbf{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{Q}^H$

**Proof:** Since the  $\mathbf{q}_i$  eigenvectors are **orthonormal**

$$\mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1^H \\ \mathbf{q}_2^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_M^H \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M] = \mathbf{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{Q}^H$$

Property (Eigen decomposition of **R**)

The correlation matrix can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{R} = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_i^H$$

**Proof:** The correlation diagonalization result states

$$\mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{\Omega}$$

Isolating  $\mathbf{R}$  and expanding,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{Q}^H = [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M] \mathbf{\Omega} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1^H \\ \mathbf{q}_2^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_M^H \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \mathbf{q}_1^H \\ \lambda_2 \mathbf{q}_2^H \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_M \mathbf{q}_M^H \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_i^H \end{aligned}$$

**Note:** This also gives

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = (\mathbf{Q}^H)^{-1} \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}^H$$

where  $\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} = \text{diag}(1/\lambda_1, 1/\lambda_2, \dots, 1/\lambda_M)$



## Aside (trace & determinant for matrix products)

Note  $\text{trace}(\mathbf{A}) \triangleq \sum_i A_{i,i}$ . Also,

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{AB}) = \text{trace}(\mathbf{BA}) \quad \text{similarly} \quad \det(\mathbf{AB}) = \det(\mathbf{A})\det(\mathbf{B})$$

## Property (Determinant–Eigenvalue Relation)

The determinant of the correlation matrix is related to the eigenvalues as follows:

$$\det(\mathbf{R}) = \prod_{i=1}^M \lambda_i$$

**Proof:** Using  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{Q}^H$  and the above,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{R}) &= \det(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{Q}^H) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{Q})\det(\mathbf{Q}^H)\det(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \det(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \prod_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

## Property (Trace–Eigenvalue Relation)

The trace of the correlation matrix is related to the eigenvalues as follows:

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i$$

**Proof:** Note

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(\mathbf{R}) &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{Q}^H) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Omega}) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{\Omega}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

QED

## Definition (Normal Matrix)

A complex square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is a normal matrix if

$$\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^H$$

That is, a matrix is normal if it commutes with its conjugate transpose.

### Note

- All Hermitian symmetric matrices are normal
- Every matrix that can be diagonalized by the unitary transform is normal

## Definition (Condition Number)

The condition number reflects how numerically well-conditioned a problem is, i.e., a low condition number  $\Rightarrow$  **well-conditioned**; a high condition number  $\Rightarrow$  **ill-conditioned**.

## Definition (Condition Number for Linear Systems)

For a linear system

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$$

defined by a normal matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , the condition number is

$$\chi(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$$

where  $\lambda_{\max}$  and  $\lambda_{\min}$  are the maximum/minimum eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$

### Observations:

- Large eigenvalue spread  $\Rightarrow$  ill-conditioned
- Small eigenvalue spread  $\Rightarrow$  well-conditioned

# The discrete Karhmen-Loeve Transform (KLT)

## Definition (The discrete Karhmen-Loeve Transform (KLT))

A  $M$  sample vector  $\mathbf{x}(n)$  from the process  $\{x(n)\}$  can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^M c_i(n) \mathbf{q}_i$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M$  are the orthonormal eigenvectors of the process correlation matrix,  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $c_1(n), c_2(n), \dots, c_M(n)$  are a set of KLT coefficients.

- Signal is represented as a weighted sum of eigenvectors
- Need to determine the coefficients

**Determining Coefficients:** Write the expression in matrix form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^M c_i(n) \mathbf{q}_i \\ &= \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{c}(n) \quad (*)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M]$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}(n) = [c_1(n), c_2(n), \dots, c_M(n)]^T.$$

Solving (\*) for  $\mathbf{c}(n)$ :

$$\mathbf{c}(n) = \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{x}(n) = \mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{x}(n)$$

or

$$c_i(n) = \mathbf{q}_i^H \mathbf{x}(n)$$

**Note:**  $c_i(n)$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{x}(n)$  onto  $\mathbf{q}_i$

**Question:** How related are the coefficients to reach other?

**Answer:** Consider the correlation between  $c_i(n)$  terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}(n)} &= E\{\mathbf{c}(n)\mathbf{c}^H(n)\} \\
 &= E\{(\mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{x}(n))(\mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{x}(n))^H\} \\
 &= E\{(\mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{x}(n)\mathbf{x}^H(n)\mathbf{Q})\} \\
 &= \mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{R}_x\mathbf{Q} \\
 &= \mathbf{\Omega}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Result:**

$$E\{c_i^*(n)c_j(n)\} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i & i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

⇒ KLT transform coefficients are uncorrelated

- A desirable property – Why?

**Question:** Can we represent  $\mathbf{x}(n)$  with fewer terms? If so, how do we minimize the representation error?

**Approach:** Use fewer terms in the KLT transform

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^M c_i(n) \mathbf{q}_i \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}}(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^N c_i(n) \mathbf{q}_i \quad N < M\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}(n) &= \hat{\mathbf{x}}(n) + \varepsilon(n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N c_i(n) \mathbf{q}_i + \sum_{i=N+1}^M c_i(n) \mathbf{q}_i\end{aligned}$$

**Question:** How do we minimize the representation error?



**Approach:** Analyzed and minimize the error power

The error power is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon &= E\{\varepsilon^H(n)\varepsilon(n)\} \\
 &= E\left\{\sum_{i=N+1}^M c_i^*(n)\mathbf{q}_i^H \sum_{j=N+1}^M c_j(n)\mathbf{q}_j\right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=N+1}^M E\{c_i^*(n)c_i(n)\} \quad [\text{result of orthogonality}] \\
 &= \sum_{i=N+1}^M \lambda_i \quad [\text{from prior result}]
 \end{aligned}$$

**Result:** To minimize the error select the  $\mathbf{q}_i$  eigenvectors associated with  $M$  largest eigenvalues.