

Top Down Parsing - Part I

Copyright 2010, Keith D. Cooper & Linda Torczon, all rights reserved.



Top-down parsers (LL(1), recursive descent)

- Start at root of parse tree and grow toward leaves
- Pick a production & try to match the input
- Bad "pick" \Rightarrow may need to backtrack
- Some grammars are backtrack-free

Top-down Parsing



- Starts with root of parse tree
- Root node is labeled with goal symbol
- Expand all non-terminals (NT) at fringe of tree



Top-down parsing algorithm



Construct the root node of parse tree Repeat until <u>lower fringe matches input string</u>

- 1 At node labeled A, select production with A on LHS and, for each symbol on RHS, construct appropriate child
- 2 If terminal symbol added to fringe doesn't match input, backtrack
- 3 Find the next node (NT) to be expanded

The key is picking the right production in step 1

- That choice should be guided by the input string



And the input $\underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y}$

Let's try $\underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y}$:

Rule	Sentential Form	Input
_	Goal	↑ <u>x</u> - <u>2</u> * y



Goal

Rule

0

1

3

6

9

 \rightarrow

<id,x> + Term



<id,x>

This worked well, except that "-" doesn't match "+" The parser must backtrack to here

<u>x</u> ↑- <u>2</u> * y



6

Continuing with $\underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y}$:



 \Rightarrow Now, we need to expand Term - the last NT on the fringe







- "2" matches "2"
- We have more input, but no NTs left to expand
- The expansion terminated too soon
- \Rightarrow Need to backtrack







The Point:

The parser must make the right choice when it expands a NT. Wrong choices lead to wasted effort.

Another possible parse



Other choices for expansion are possible

Rule	Sentential Form	Input
_	Goal	↑ <u>x - 2 * y</u>
0	Expr	$\uparrow \underline{x} - \underline{2} * \underline{y} \leq 0$ Consumes no input!
1	Expr + Term	$\underline{x} - \underline{2} \star \underline{y}$
1	Expr + Term + Term	↑ <u>×</u> <u>2</u> * <u>γ</u>
1	Expr + Term + Term + Term	↑ <u>× - 2</u> * <u>×</u>
1	And so on	<u> </u>

This expansion doesn't terminate

- Wrong choice of expansion leads to non-termination
- Non-termination is a bad property for a parser to have
- Parser must make the right choice



Top-down parsers cannot handle left-recursive grammars

Formally,

A grammar is *left recursive* if $\exists A \in NT$ such that

 \exists a derivation $A \Rightarrow^{+} A\alpha$, for some string $\alpha \in (NT \cup T)^{+}$



Our classic expression grammar is left recursive

- This can lead to non-termination in a top-down parser
- In top-down parser, any recursion must be right recursion
- We would like to convert the left recursion to right recursion

Non-termination is <u>always</u> a bad property in a compiler

0	Goal	\rightarrow	Expr
1	Expr	\rightarrow	Expr + Term
2			Expr - Term
3			Term
4	Term	\rightarrow	Term * Factor
5			Term / Factor
6			Factor
7	Factor	\rightarrow	<u>(</u> Expr <u>)</u>
8			<u>number</u>
9			<u>id</u>



To remove left recursion, we can transform the grammar

Consider a grammar fragment of the form

Fee \rightarrow Fee α | β where neither α nor β start with Fee

We can rewrite this fragment as $Fee \rightarrow \beta$ Fie $Fie \rightarrow \alpha$ Fie $\mid \epsilon$ where Fie is a new non-terminal

The new grammar defines the same language as the old grammar, using only right recursion.

Added a reference to the empty string



The expression grammar contains two cases of left recursion

Fee \rightarrow Fee α | β

$$Fee \rightarrow \beta Fie$$

$$Fie \rightarrow \alpha Fie$$

$$\mid \epsilon$$



The expression grammar contains two cases of left recursion

Applying the transformation yields

These fragments use only right recursion



Substituting them back into the grammar yields

1

0	Goal	\rightarrow	Expr
1	Expr	\rightarrow	Term Expr'
2	Expr'	\rightarrow	+ Term Expr'
3			- Term Expr'
4			3
5	Term	\rightarrow	Factor Term'
6	Term'	\rightarrow	* Factor Term
7			/ Factor Term
8			3
9	Factor	\rightarrow	(Expr)
10			number
11			id

- This grammar is correct, if somewhat non-intuitive.
- A top-down parser will terminate using it.
- A top-down parser may need to backtrack with it.



The transformation eliminates immediate left recursion What about more general, indirect left recursion ?

The general algorithm:

arrange the NTs into some order $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$ for $i \leftarrow 1$ to nfor $s \leftarrow 1$ to i - 1replace each production $A_i \rightarrow A_{s\gamma}$ with $A_i \rightarrow \delta_{1\gamma} \mid \delta_{2\gamma} \mid ... \mid \delta_{k\gamma}$, where $A_s \rightarrow \delta_1 \mid \delta_2 \mid ... \mid \delta_k$ are all the current productions for A_s eliminate any immediate left recursion on A_i using the direct transformation

This assumes that the initial grammar has no cycles $(A_i \Rightarrow A_i)$, and no epsilon productions How does this algorithm work?

- 1. Impose arbitrary order on the non-terminals
- 2. Outer loop cycles through NT in order
- 3. Inner loop ensures that a production expanding A_i has no non-terminal A_s in its *rhs*, for s < i
- 4. Last step in outer loop converts any direct recursion on A_i to right recursion using the transformation showed earlier
- 5. New non-terminals are added at the end of the order & have no left recursion

At the start of the i^{th} outer loop iteration For all k < i, no production that expands A_k contains a non-terminal A_s in its rhs, for s < k

- Order of symbols: G, E, T
 - 1. $A_i = G$ 2. $A_i = E$ 4. $A_i = T$ 3. $A_i = T, A_s = E$ $G \rightarrow E$ $G \rightarrow E$ $G \rightarrow E$ $G \rightarrow E$ $E \rightarrow E + T$ $E \rightarrow T E'$ $E \rightarrow TE'$ $E \rightarrow TE'$ $E \rightarrow T$ $E' \rightarrow + TE'$ $E' \rightarrow + TE'$ $E' \rightarrow + TE'$ $T \rightarrow E * T$ **Ε'**→ε **Ε'**→ε **Ε'**→ ε $T \rightarrow \underline{id}$ $T \rightarrow TE' * T$ $T \rightarrow E * T$ $T \rightarrow \underline{id} T'$ $T \rightarrow id$ $T \rightarrow \underline{id}$ $T' \rightarrow E' * T T'$

Τ'→ε



