

Lecture 9 Loop Transformations Part II

John Cavazos

Dept of Computer & Information Sciences

University of Delaware

www.cis.udel.edu/~cavazos/cisc879



- Hoist invariant control-flow out of loop nest
 - Invariant means does not change in loop
- Replicate the loop & specialize it
- No tests (branches) in loop body
- Longer segments of straight-line code



becomes loop (unswitch) statements if test then then part else else part endif more statements endloop

If test then loop statements then part more statements endloop else loop statements else part more statements endloop endif



Ιοορ	becomes	If test then
statements		loop
if test then		statements
then next		then part
then part		more statements
else		endloop
else part		else
endif		loop
more statements endloop	statements	
		else part
		more statements
		endloop
		endif
CISC 879 : Advanced Parallel Programming		











becomes

(unswitch) do i = 1 to 100 a(i) = a(i) + b(i) if (expression) then d(i) = 0 end if (expression) then do i = 1 to 100 a(i) = a(i) + b(i)d(i) = 0end else do i = 1 to 100 a(i) = a(i) + b(i)end



- Two loops over same iteration space \Rightarrow one loop
- Safe if does not change values used or defined by any statement in either loop (i.e., does not violate deps)



Loop Fusion Advantages

- Enhance temporal locality
- Reduce control overhead
- Longer blocks for local optimization & scheduling
- Can convert inter-loop reuse to intra-loop reuse

- Parallel loop fusion legal if dependences loop independent
 - Source and target of flow dependence map to same loop iteration

- Single loop with independent statements ⇒ multiple loops
- Starts by constructing statement level dependence graph
- Safe to perform distribution if:
 - No cycles in the dependence graph
 - Statements forming cycle in dependence graph put in same loop

Loop distribution (fission)

(1) for I = 1 to N do
(2) A[I] = A[i] + B[i-1]
(3) B[I] = C[I-1]*X+C
(4) C[I] = 1/B[I]
(5) D[I] = sqrt(C[I])

(6) endfor

Has the following dependence graph

(1) for I = 1 to N do
(2) A[I] = A[i] + B[i-1]
(3) B[I] = C[I-1]*X+C
(4) C[I] = 1/B[I]
(5) D[I] = sqrt(C[I])

(6) endfor

becomes (fission)

(2) A[I] = A[i] + B[i-1]
(3) endfor
(4) for
(5) B[I] = C[I-1]*X+C
(6) C[I] = 1/B[I]
(7)endfor
(8)for
(9) D[I] = sqrt(C[I])
(10)endfor

- Enables other transformations
 - E.g., Vectorization
- Resulting loops have smaller cache footprints
 - More reuse hits in the cache

Swap inner & outer loops to rearrange iteration space

Effect

- Improves reuse by using more elements per cache line
- Goal is to get as much reuse into inner loop as possible

Loop Interchange Effect

- If one loop carries all dependence relations
 - Swap to outermost loop and all inner loops executed in parallel
- If outer loops iterates many times and inner only a few
 - Swap outer and inner loops to reduce startup overhead
- Improves reuse by using more elements per cache line
- Goal is to get as much reuse into inner loop as possible

In <u>row-major</u> order, the opposite loop ordering causes the same effects

In Fortran's column-major order, a(4,4) would lay out as

After interchange, direction of Iteration is changed

As little as 1 used element per line

Runs down cache line

- Interchange is degenerate case
 - Two perfectly nested loops
- More general problem is called permutation

Safety

- Permutation is safe <u>iff</u> no data dependences are reversed
 - The flow of data from definitions to uses is preserved

- Change order of access & order of computation
- Move accesses closer in time ⇒ increase temporal locality
- Move computations farther apart ⇒ cover pipeline latencies

Splits a loop into two loops

Note: This is always safe, but used by itself not profitable!

- May slow down the code (extra loop)
- Enables vectorization

do t = 1,T do i = 1,n do j = 1,n ... a(i,j) ... end do end do end do

B: Block Size

Loop Tiling (blocking)

```
do ic = 1, n, B

do jc = 1, n, B

do t = 1,T

do i = ic, min(n,ic+B-1), 1

do j = jc, min(n, jc+B-1), 1

... a(i,j) ...

end do

end do

end do

end do

end do

end do

end do
```


B: Block Size

Loop Tiling (blocking)

B: Block Size


```
do ic = 1, n, B

do jc = 1, n, B

do t = 1,T

do i = ic, min(n,ic+B-1), 1

do j = jc, min(n, jc+B-1), 1

... a(i,j) ...

end do

end do

end do

end do

end do

end do
```


B: Block Size When is this legal?

- Reduces volume of data between reuses
 - Works on one "tile" at a time (*tile size is* B by B)
- Choice of tile size is crucial

- Allocators never keep c(i) in a register
- We can trick the allocator by rewriting the references

The plan

- Locate patterns of consistent reuse
- Make loads and stores use temporary scalar variable
- Replace references with temporary's name

Almost any register allocator can get t into a register

Scalar Replacement Effects

- Decreases number of loads and stores
- Keeps reused values in names that can be allocated to registers
- In essence, this exposes the reuse of a(i) to subsequent passes